Public Document Pack





Barry Keel Chief Executive

Plymouth City Council Civic Centre Plymouth PLI 2AA

www.plymouth.gov.uk/democracy

Date: 14 November 2011

Please ask for: Mrs Katey Johns, Democratic Support Officer

T: 01752 307815 E: katey.johns@plymouth.gov.uk

OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY MANAGEMENT BOARD 'CALL-IN: VEHICLE REPLACEMENT – REFUSE COLLECTION VEHICLES X15

Date: Tuesday 22 November 2011

Time: 10.00 am

Venue: Warspite Room, Council House (next to the Civic Centre)

Members:

Councillor James, Chair

Councillor Nicholson, Vice Chair

Councillors Mrs Aspinall, Mrs Bowyer, Browne, Coker, Lock, Lowry, McDonald, Stark,

Thompson and Wildy.

Co-opted Representative:

Mr D Fletcher (Plymouth Chamber of Commerce).

Members are invited to attend the above meeting to consider the items of business overleaf.

Please note that unless the chair of the meeting agrees, mobile phones should be switched off and speech, video and photographic equipment should not be used in meetings.

Barry Keel Chief Executive

OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY MANAGEMENT BOARD

AGENDA

PART I - PUBLIC MEETING

I. APOLOGIES AND SUBSTITUTIONS

To receive apologies for non-attendance submitted by Overview and Scrutiny Management Board Members and to note the attendance of substitutes in accordance with the Constitution.

2. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Members will be asked to make any declarations of interest in respect of items on this agenda.

3. CHAIR'S URGENT BUSINESS

To receive reports on business which, in the opinion of the Chair, should be brought forward for urgent consideration.

4. CALL-IN: VEHICLE REPLACEMENT - REFUSE COLLECTION VEHICLES X15

(Pages I - I2)

Members of the Overview and Scrutiny Management Board will consider the decision called in by Councillors Evans, Peter Smith and Vincent.

5. EXEMPT BUSINESS

To consider passing a resolution under Section 100A (4) of the Local Government Act 1972 to exclude the press and public from the meeting for the following item(s) of business on the grounds that it (they) involve(s) the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in paragraph 3 of Part I of Schedule 12A of the Act, as amended by the Freedom of Information Act 2000.

PART II (PRIVATE MEETING)

AGENDA

MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC TO NOTE

that under the law, the Panel is entitled to consider certain items in private. Members of the public will be asked to leave the meeting when such items are discussed.

6. CALL-IN: VEHICLE REPLACEMENT - REFUSE COLLECTION VEHICLES X15

(Pages 13 - 36)

Members of the Overview and Scrutiny Management Board will consider the decision called in by Councillors Evans, Peter Smith and Vincent.

Procedure to be followed in the meeting

 Once the Chair has opened the meeting and any previous business on the agenda been dealt with, the Members who called in the decision will be asked to explain why they have done so and what they feel should be reviewed.

The Members making the call-in shall be allowed up to 15 minutes in total to present their case. It shall be up to them to determine how they wish to use their time, they may ask one speaker to speak or share the time among several speakers as they see fit.

- 2. **15 minutes shall be allowed to respond on behalf of the decision maker(s).** It shall be up to them to decide how to use this allocation. The relevant Cabinet Member, or a senior officer, may make the presentation or they may divide the time between several speakers as they see fit.
- 3. After each presentation, Members may ask questions to clarify any points made by the speakers (although the speakers will not have an opportunity to cross-examine one another).
- 4. The Board will then discuss the matter. Members may ask further questions of the Members making the call in or the decision makers during the debate. The Members making the call in and the decision maker will not normally speak during the debate, except to answer questions
- 5. When the Chair considers that the matter has been debated for a reasonable length of time, the decision maker will be offered the opportunity to make any final comments on the matter. One of the Members making the call in will also be offered the opportunity to sum up. Each side will be allowed five minutes for this purpose.
- 6. The matter will then move to the vote:
 - The first question is to consider in principle whether, in light of the case presented by the Members making the call-in and any other points made during the debate, Members wish to refer the decision for further consideration. If members vote no at this stage, the call-in is ended. The matter will not be referred back and the original decision may be implemented.
 - 2. If Members vote in favour of referring the matter for reconsideration, they must vote on the following matters:
 - (a) Where to refer the matter Members must decide whether the decision should be referred back for reconsideration directly to the original decision-maker (i.e. the Cabinet OR an Executive Committee OR to refer the matter to City Council with a request that they decide whether to refer the matter back to the original decision-maker for reconsideration. The Chair shall ask members to vote in favour of either:

- (i) Reference directly back to the original decision-maker
 - (ii) Reference back via the City Council

OR

- (b) Reasons for referring the matter back The Chair will then put to the meeting that the matter be referred back to the original decision-maker (or to the City Council, as the case may be) for the reasons set out in the call-in request. Members will then be invited to move any amendments or additions to those reasons. Members may also move any specific recommendations or issues they would like the original decision-maker or Council to consider. Members must agree on the factors the decision-maker (or Council) are to be asked to consider. The matter may not be referred for reconsideration without reasons being given.
- 3. If Members of the Overview and Scrutiny Management Board vote to refer the matter back via City Council, they must then decide whether to request that any officers should be available to respond to questions at the Council meeting. If they do wish officers to be available, they should specify which officers they wish to be present to answer questions. They may not request any officer below the level of Head of Service to appear before Council.

DELEGATED DECISION

Democratic Support



REPORT OF ACTION TAKEN UNDER DELEGATED AUTHORITY BY AN INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER

Delegated Decision Reference Number -

Dec	cision						
1	Title of decision: Vehicle Replace	ement - Ref	use Colle	ection Vehicles ×15			
2	Decision maker (Cabinet Member): Cllr Michael Leaves Cabinet Member for Community Services (Street Scene, Waste & Sustainability)						
3	Decision author and contact details: 4682	ails: Garry Stainer Principal highways and fleet manager - Ex					
4	Decision to be taken:	Authorise	the purc	hase of waste collection vehicles 15 in total.			
5	Reasons for decision: The	purchase c	ption off	ers savings on current allocation of budgets.			
6	Alternative options considered: expensive than outright purchase.	Leasi	ng and sh	nort term hire have been evaluated as more			
7	Financial implications: Total cost for 15 vehicles £1,995,928; An estimated £565,000 saving over 5 to £214,000		00% of r	residual value retained after 5 years equivalent			
8	Is the decision a Key Decision?	Yes		(if ticked, complete section 9)			
		No	~	(If ticked, proceed to section 10)			
9	Date added to the Forward Plan?	771					
10	Please specify how this decision is linked to the policy framework and/or budget:	This is a vehicles. budgets purchase	The decision will allow the purchase outright of 15 vehicles. This is a part purchase of the total fleet of waste collection vehicles. This will offer savings on the Waste and street scene budgets due to significantly lower costs associated with purchase compared to the current method of short term hire. this is based over a five year period.				
I	Is the decision a case of special urgency?	Yes		(if ticked, ensure that the Chair of the Overview and Scrutiny Management Board signs the report at section 11a and section 11b is completed)			
		No	~	(if ticked, proceed to section 12)			
la	Signature		Date				

Page 4

,						
	Print Name	1772				
IIb	Reason for urgency:	Pennoni i akan kan ana ana ana ana				
Con	sultation					
12	Are any other Cabinet Members affected by the decision?	Yes		(if ticked, complete sections 13 and 14		
		No	~	(if ticked, procee	(if ticked, proceed to section 15)	
13	Which other Cabinet Member is affected?					
14	Has this Cabinet Member been	Yes				
	consulted?	No				
15	Which Corporate Management Team member has been consulted?	Consultation has been undertaken with 3 members - Carole Burgoyne Director for Community Services Adam Broome Director for Corporate support Ian Gallin Assistant Chief Executive				
16	Please include the sign off codes from the relevant departments consulted:	Democratic Support (mandatory)			DSO391112	
		Finance (r	mandato	ory)	TOCS CoSF ED1112 004	
		Legal (ma	ndatory)	13192/ALT.	
		Human R	esource	s	n/a	
		Asset Mai	nagemer	nt	n/a	
		IT			n/a	
		Procurem	ent		PWC1112.007	
Othe	r Information					
17	Is the decision in accordance with an Equalities Impact Assessment?	Yes		(For further advice, for Safer Communit	contact Assistant Director ies, ext. 4388)	
		No	~		ď.	
18	State how the decision supports the City and Council Priorities.	To provid waste coll		for money for commervices	nunities in providing	

Background Papers

19 Please list all background papers relevant to the decision in the table below.

(If not attached, indicate where it can be accessed either electronically or in hard copy. Any confidential (Part II) information should be included in background papers only and <u>not</u> in this delegated decision template. If the background paper is Part II, please indicate why the background paper is not for publication by virtue of Exemption Paragraph(s) (EI - E7) of Part I of Schedule I2A of the Local Government Act 1972 by ticking the relevant box in the table).

Title	Part I	Part II	Exemption Paragraph Number						
			I	2	3	4	5	6	7
Fast track CPAF		attached			~				
Contract award report for Purchase of Refuse Collection Vehicles		Not attached			~				
Briefing report – part l	~								

Cabinet Member Signature

I agree the decision and confirm that it is not contrary to the Council's policy and budget framework, City Strategy, Corporate Plan and Medium Term Financial Plan.

Signature Date 02/11/2011

Print Name Cllr Michael Leaves

Cabinet Member for Community Services (Street Scene, Waste & Sustainability)

Project title - Vehicle & Plant Replacement Programme

1. Introduction

The Council wishes to purchase 15 refuse collection vehicles for the collection of household waste, co-mingled recyclables and green waste from residents within the city of Plymouth. It also collects trade waste from non-residential premises. The Vehicle types and the number of each required are as follows:

Type 1: (4x2 Standard width chassis/body RCV 12t / 13t)

Type 2: (6x2 Rear steer narrow track chassis/body RCV 24t) 3 in 1 lift

Type 3: (6x2 Mid lift narrow track chassis/body RCV 22t)

5 vehicles

7 vehicles

3 vehicles

2. Background

The Council utilises a fleet of approximately 350 vehicles. The value of vehicles, plant and specialist equipment currently used by the council is around £10 million. The lack of a rolling annual replacement program means many services are operating old and worn fleet. This puts an increased budget pressure on repair and maintenance costs as well as driving the need to 'spot hire' replacement vehicles whilst repairs are undertaken. Many of the vehicles are leased or on hire agreements whilst paying short term rates. A review was undertaken by Price Waterhouse Coopers in 2007 and although the recommendation was accepted to implement a five year rolling vehicle replacement program to address the inefficiencies and effects on aging fleet, the budget pressures on capital and revenue accounts restricted progress. The situation has now become untenable due to the age and cost of the Vehicle & Plant Items. A strategic approach has been taken to analyse the fleet and determine the most cost effective solution to resolving the current state of vehicles, plant and equipment. Any initial investment targets expensive spot hire arrangements and determines the lease v purchase options. Furthermore, vehicles have been clustered into services so that decisions about vehicle replacement can be taken along side any transformation or service delivery review of services. This reduces the risk of decisions about vehicles, plant and isolation in isolation of the wider services they are used to support.

There will be four phases to this strategic approach.

Phase I Refuse Vehicles (Waste Service)

Phase II Minibuses (CYP, ASC and Schools Services)
Phase III Plant & Equipment (Street Cleansing and Parks)

Phase IV Other dilapidated or expensive Vehicles (various Services)

The review for Phase 1 was concluded and revealed that the most cost effective solution was the outright purchase of the required vehicles rather than pursuing a lease option. See paragraph 4. Financial information below.

Accordingly a procurement exercise was undertaken utilising the Framework Agreement of the Eastern Shires Purchasing Organisation

3. Risks

The evaluation team are aware that delays in delivery will have the biggest impact on the Council as it will require ongoing hire or lease of vehicles. Contract management and close working with the chosen suppliers will minimise any potential for delay

4. Financial information:

We have explored whether to Hire, Lease or Purchase Vehicles. The most economical option currently is to purchase outright based on the advice given by the Council's leasing advisors Sector. A further review into leasing will be completed by Arlingclose to test check professional advice. The difference in cost between leasing and purchasing is minimal and the deciding factors being the residual value of the sale of the asset at the end of 5 years.

An estimated £565,000 saving over 5 years This will be made from reduced costs associated with short term hire. There will also be a saving of 100% of residual value retained after 5 years equivalent to £214,000n investment of £1.996m would achieve savings of £0.715m over a five year period.

An investment of £1.996m would achieve savings of £0.715m over a five year period. This total cost is within the amount approved by Full Council on the 10th October for the purchase of replacement refuse collection vehicles which was set at £2.135million.



Call-In Request Form

V. 1 3 8 9	* \		5 1			
Decision to be called in	Vehicle Replacement - Refuse	Colle ctria	vehicles x15			
Decision made by:	□ The Cabinet or					
(Delete the choices which	A Cabinet Member or					
do not apply)	Executive decision made under joint arrangements					
Date decision was made	2/11/2011					
Are you calling the decision in because you believe it to be outside of the Budget or Policy Framework	Yes/No					
Reasons for the Call-In	Decision maker failed courses of action. The to financial climate that not all atternative considered and the control adverse financial implies					

Signatures To be valid the request must be supported by **THREE** Members. This can be demonstrated by having the Members sign the form or by getting the Members to e mail The Democratic Services Manager expressing their support for the call-in. One Member must sign the form. The other two Members can notify their support electronically.

Page 10

Signatures of THREE Members

Name	Signature
1. Tugor Evans	MONE
2. PETER SM MA	P. Smitt
3. BRAN VINCENT	3.1

Notice of call-in for non-urgent decisions -

- (i) must be received in the Democratic Support Unit by 4.30 p.m. on the 5th working day after Members have been notified that the decision has been made;
- (ii) can be submitted direct to the Democratic Support Unit on 4022 or by using the on-line form which should be e-mailed to delegated.decisions@plymouth.gov.uk.

CALL-IN REQUEST FORM



Decision to be called in	Vehicle Replacement - Refuse Collection				
Decision made by:	□ The Cabinet or □ A Cabinet Member or				
(Delete the choices which do not					
apply)	Executive decision made under joint arrangements				
Date decision was made	2/11/2011 Yes/No				
Are you calling the decision in					
because you believe it to be outside of the Budget or Policy Framework	(If Yes, state the reasons why you believe it to be outside the Budget or Policy Framework in the reasons section below)				
Reasons for the Call-In					
The reason for call in must fall within	Due to financial climate				
one or more of the following categories:	have concerns that not				
□ The process by which the decision	all afternatives were seriously				
was made was deficient in some way. e.g. did the decision maker	considered and the contract				
neglect to consult people who should have been consulted?	could have adverse finance				
The decision maker failed to consider alternative courses of	implications				
action The decision taker failed to take	· ·				
into account relevant factors					
The decision was wrong in law or	<u>.</u>				
fact- the call in form must state why	· ·				

To be valid **THREE** Councillors must support the request. All three Councillors should sign the form *OR* all three Councillors should e mail the Democratic Support Section (democratic.support@plymouth.gov.uk) expressing their support for the call-in. In this case, the form should be completed and attached to all of the e-mails.

cont

Signatures of THREE Councillors:

Name	Signature				
1. CLLR. VINCENT	13. V-A				
2.					
3.					

Contact Details:	
Name of councillor to be contacted if there are any difficulties or questions.	

Notice of call-in for non-urgent decisions -

- (i) must be received in the Democratic Support Unit by 4.30 p.m. on the 5th working day after Councillors have been notified that the decision has been made;
- (ii) can be submitted to the Democratic Support Unit or by using the on-line form which should be e-mailed to democratic.support@plymouth.gov.uk

Agenda Item 6

By virtue of paragraph(s) 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A Page 13 of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

By virtue of paragraph(s) 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A Page 25 of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted